

A Rigorous Treatment of Energy Extraction from a Rotating Black Hole

F. Finster*, N. Kamran†, J. Smoller‡ and S.-T. Yau§

May 22, 2008

Abstract

The Cauchy problem is considered for the scalar wave equation in the Kerr geometry. We prove that by choosing a suitable wave packet as initial data, one can extract energy from the black hole, thereby putting superradiance, the wave analogue of the Penrose process, into a rigorous mathematical framework. We quantify the maximal energy gain. We also compute the infinitesimal change of mass and angular momentum of the black hole, in agreement with Christodoulou's result for the Penrose process. The main mathematical tool is our previously derived integral representation of the wave propagator.

1 Introduction and Statement of Results

Near a rotating black hole there is the counter-intuitive effect that the physical energy of particles or waves need not be positive. As discovered by Roger Penrose [12], this effect can be used to extract energy from the black hole. In the process proposed by Penrose, a classical particle enters the so-called *ergosphere*, a region outside the event horizon, where it disintegrates into two particles. One particle of negative energy falls into the black hole, whereas the other particle has energy greater than the initial energy, and escapes to infinity. The wave analogue of the Penrose process is called *superradiance*; it was proposed in [16] and first studied in [13, 14] and [4, 5], see also [2, 11, 15]. In these papers, superradiance was considered only on the level of modes, i.e., by considering the reflection coefficients for the radial ODE obtained after separating out the time and angular dependence. A more convincing treatment of superradiance is to consider the Cauchy problem for “wave packet” initial data, and in [1], this was carried out numerically for the scalar wave equation. In this paper we shall consider the Cauchy problem analytically, giving a mathematically rigorous treatment of superradiance for scalar waves. Our analysis is based on the integral representation for the wave propagator obtained in [8, 9].

A rotating black hole is modeled by the Kerr metric, which in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates $(t, r, \vartheta, \varphi)$ with $r > 0$, $0 \leq \vartheta \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$, takes the form

$$ds^2 = g_{jk} dx^j dx^k$$

*Research supported in part by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

†Research supported by NSERC grant # RGPIN 105490-2004.

‡Research supported in part by the Humboldt Foundation and the National Science Foundation, Grant No. DMS-0603754.

§Research supported in part by the NSF, Grant No. 33-585-7510-2-30.

$$= \frac{\Delta}{U} (dt - a \sin^2 \vartheta d\varphi)^2 - U \left(\frac{dr^2}{\Delta} + d\vartheta^2 \right) - \frac{\sin^2 \vartheta}{U} (a dt - (r^2 + a^2) d\varphi)^2. \quad (1.1)$$

Here $M > 0$ and $aM > 0$ denote the mass and the angular momentum of the black hole, respectively, and the functions U and Δ are given by

$$U(r, \vartheta) = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \vartheta, \quad \Delta(r) = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2.$$

We consider only the *non-extreme case* $M^2 > a^2$, where the function Δ has two distinct roots. The largest root

$$r_1 = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$$

defines the event horizon of the black hole. We restrict attention to the region $r > r_1$ outside the event horizon where $\Delta > 0$. The metric (1.1) does not depend on t nor φ and is thus stationary and axisymmetric, admitting the two commuting Killing fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}$. The *ergosphere* is defined to be the region where the Killing field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is space-like, that is where

$$r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 \cos^2 \vartheta < 0. \quad (1.2)$$

The ergosphere lies outside the event horizon $r = r_1$, and its boundary intersects the event horizon at the poles $\vartheta = 0, \pi$.

We now briefly review the Penrose process (for more details see [15]). The 4-momentum p^j of a massive point particle is time-like and future-directed, and thus its energy $\langle p, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle$ is positive whenever $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is time-like. However, in the ergosphere the vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ becomes space-like, and hence the energy of the point particle need *not* be positive. Penrose considers a particle of positive energy which splits inside the ergosphere into two particles whose energies have opposite signs. By finely tuning the energy and momenta of these particles, one can arrange that the particle of negative energy crosses the event horizon and reduces the angular momentum of the black hole, whereas the other particle escapes to infinity, carrying (due to energy conservation) more energy than the original particle. In this way, one can extract energy from the black hole, at the cost of reducing its angular momentum. Christodoulou [3] showed that the infinitesimal changes of mass δM and angular momentum $\delta(aM)$ of the black hole satisfy the inequalities

$$\delta(aM) \leq \frac{r_1^2 + a^2}{a} \delta M < 0, \quad (1.3)$$

and as a consequence he showed that it is not possible to reduce the mass of the black hole via the Penrose process below the *irreducible mass* M_{irr} defined by

$$M_{\text{irr}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(M^2 + \sqrt{M^4 - (aM)^2} \right). \quad (1.4)$$

We now recall the wave equation in the Kerr geometry and its separation; for more details see [8]. The scalar wave equation is

$$g^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j \Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\sqrt{-\det g} g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) \Phi = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

and in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates this becomes

$$\left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \Delta \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\Delta} \left((r^2 + a^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + a \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right)^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \cos \vartheta} \sin^2 \vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial \cos \vartheta} - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \left(a \sin^2 \vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right)^2 \right] \Phi = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Using the ansatz

$$\Phi(t, r, \vartheta, \varphi) = e^{-i\omega t - ik\varphi} R(r) \Theta(\vartheta) \quad (1.7)$$

with $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the wave equation can be separated into both angular and radial ODEs,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\omega,k} R_\lambda = -\lambda R_\lambda, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\omega,k} \Theta_\lambda = \lambda \Theta_\lambda. \quad (1.8)$$

The angular operator $\mathcal{A}_{\omega,k}$ is also called the *spheroidal wave operator*. It has a purely discrete spectrum of non-degenerate eigenvalues $0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2, \dots$. The corresponding eigenfunctions $\Theta_n^{\omega,k}$ are referred to as spheroidal wave functions. In order to bring the radial equation into a convenient form, we introduce a new radial function $\phi(r)$ by

$$\phi(r) = \sqrt{r^2 + a^2} R(r), \quad (1.9)$$

and define the Regge-Wheeler variable $u \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\frac{du}{dr} = \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta}, \quad (1.10)$$

mapping the event horizon to $u = -\infty$. The radial equation then takes the form of the Schrödinger equation

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{du^2} + V(u) \right) \phi(u) = 0 \quad (1.11)$$

with the potential

$$V(u) = -\left(\omega + \frac{ak}{r^2 + a^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_n(\omega) \Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \partial_u^2 \sqrt{r^2 + a^2}. \quad (1.12)$$

Starobinsky [13] analyzes superradiance on the level of modes. Using the notation in [9], we can explain his ideas and results as follows. We fix the separation constants $k > 0$, ω and λ_n . Introducing the notation

$$\Omega = \omega - \omega_0 \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_0 = -\frac{ak}{r_1^2 + a^2}, \quad (1.13)$$

the potential (1.12) has the asymptotics

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow -\infty} V(u) = -\Omega^2, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} V(u) = -\omega^2.$$

Thus there are fundamental solutions $\acute{\phi}$ and $\grave{\phi}$ of (1.11) which behave asymptotically like plane waves,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow -\infty} e^{-i\Omega u} \acute{\phi}(u) = 1, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow -\infty} \left(e^{-i\Omega u} \acute{\phi}(u) \right)' = 0 \quad (1.14)$$

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} e^{i\omega u} \grave{\phi}(u) = 1, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \left(e^{i\omega u} \grave{\phi}(u) \right)' = 0, \quad (1.15)$$

(see [9] for details). The solution $\phi = \overline{\acute{\phi}}$ has, near the event horizon $u = -\infty$, the asymptotics $\phi(u) = e^{-i\Omega u}$. Taking into account the factor $e^{-i\omega t}$ in the separation, this corresponds to a plane wave entering the black hole. A general solution ϕ can be expressed as a linear combination of $\acute{\phi}$ and its complex conjugate,

$$\phi(u) = A \acute{\phi}(u) + B \overline{\acute{\phi}}(u). \quad (1.16)$$

Equation (1.15) shows that the solution $\dot{\phi}$ behaves near infinity like $\dot{\phi}(u) = e^{-i\omega u}$. Hence the corresponding time-dependent solution behaves like the plane wave $e^{-i\omega(t+u)}$ and corresponds to an *incoming wave* propagating from infinity. Likewise, the solution $\overline{\dot{\phi}}$ corresponds to an *outgoing wave* propagating towards infinity. Computing the Wronskian of ϕ and $\overline{\phi}$ near the event horizon and near infinity gives the relation

$$|A|^2 - |B|^2 = \frac{\Omega}{\omega}. \quad (1.17)$$

The quantities $\omega^2|A|^2$ and $\omega^2|B|^2$ have the interpretations as the energy flux of the incoming and outgoing waves, respectively. If the right side of (1.17) is positive, the outgoing flux is smaller than the incoming flux, and this corresponds to ordinary scattering. However, if the right side of (1.17) is negative, then the outgoing flux is larger than the incoming flux; this is termed *superradiant scattering*. According to (1.17), superradiant scattering appears precisely when ω and Ω have opposite signs. Using (1.13), we see that superradiant scattering occurs if and only if ω lies in one of the following intervals

$$\omega_0 < \omega < 0, \quad 0 < \omega < \omega_0, \quad (1.18)$$

depending on the sign of ω_0 . The gain in energy is determined by the quotient of outgoing and incoming flux,

$$\mathfrak{R} = \frac{|B|^2}{|A|^2}. \quad (1.19)$$

Starobinsky [13] made an asymptotic expansion for $\mathfrak{R}$ and found a relative gain of energy of about 5% for $k = 1$ and less than 1% for $k \geq 2$. This was verified later numerically in [1]. Teukolsky and Press [14] made a similar mode analysis for higher spin and found numerically an energy gain of at most 4.4% for Maxwell ($s = 1$) and up to 138% for gravitational waves ($s = 2$).

Our main result makes the above mode argument for the scalar wave equation mathematically precise in the setting of the Cauchy problem. To state our result, we combine Φ and $\partial_t \Phi$, as in [8], into a two-component vector $\Psi = (\Phi, i\partial_t \Phi)$. We always assume that the initial data is smooth and compactly supported outside the event horizon,

$$\Psi_0 = (\Phi, i\partial_t \Phi)|_{t=0} \in C_0^\infty((r_1, \infty) \times S^2)^2.$$

The physical energy of the wave is then given by $\langle \Psi, \Psi \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the *energy scalar product*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi, \Psi' \rangle = & \int_{r_1}^{\infty} dr \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \vartheta) \left\{ \left(\frac{(r^2 + a^2)^2}{\Delta} - a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta \right) \overline{\partial_t \Phi} \partial_t \Phi' \right. \\ & \left. + \Delta \overline{\partial_r \Phi} \partial_r \Phi' + \sin^2 \vartheta \overline{\partial_{\cos \vartheta} \Phi} \partial_{\cos \vartheta} \Phi' + \left(\frac{1}{\sin^2 \vartheta} - \frac{a^2}{\Delta} \right) \overline{\partial_\varphi \Phi} \partial_\varphi \Phi' \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

Provided that the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ exists, the energy radiated to infinity can be defined by

$$E_{\text{out}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Psi(t), \chi_{(2r_1, \infty)}(r) \Psi(t) \rangle, \quad (1.21)$$

where χ is the characteristic function. Note that, according to the pointwise decay result in [9], we could replace the argument $2r_1$ by any radius greater than r_1 . Moreover, again using pointwise local decay, the boundary term at $r = 2r_1$ which arises when differentiating the characteristic function $\chi_{(2r_1, \infty)}$, vanishes in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$. We can now state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Consider the Cauchy problem for the scalar wave equation in the non-extreme Kerr geometry for initial data with compact support outside the event horizon,*

$$\Psi_0 = (\Phi, i\partial_t \Phi)|_{t=0} \in C_0^\infty((r_1, \infty) \times S^2)^2,$$

having energy $\langle \Psi_0, \Psi_0 \rangle$. Fix $k > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega \in (\omega_0, 0)$. Then for any $R > r_1$ and $\delta > 0$ there is initial data $\Psi_0 \in C_0^\infty((R, \infty) \times S^2)^2$ such that the limit in (1.21) exists and

$$\left| \frac{E_{\text{out}}}{\langle \Psi_0, \Psi_0 \rangle} - \Re \right| \leq \delta$$

with $\Re$ as in (1.19). The same inequality holds for $k < 0$ and $\omega \in (0, \omega_0)$.

We emphasize that we allow the initial data to be supported arbitrarily far away from the event horizon. This is important in order to avoid artificial initial data which would not correspond to an energy extraction mechanism. For example, if one allows the support of the initial data to intersect the ergosphere, one could take initial data close to a wave packet with zero total energy, in which case the quotient $E_{\text{out}}/\langle \Psi_0, \Psi_0 \rangle$ could be made arbitrarily large.

We also compute the energy E_{bh} and the angular momentum A_{bh} of the wave component crossing the event horizon of the black hole to obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 *For any initial data $\Psi_0 \in C_0^\infty((r_1, \infty) \times S^2)^2$, the quantities E_{bh} and A_{bh} defined by (2.8, 5.1) satisfy the inequality*

$$A_{\text{bh}} \leq \frac{r_1^2 + a^2}{a} E_{\text{bh}}.$$

This shows explicitly that Christodoulou's estimates (1.3, 1.4) also hold for energy extraction by scalar waves, in agreement with Hawking's area theorem [15].

2 Absorption of Energy by the Black Hole

Recall from [9] that given initial data $\Psi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times S^2)^2$, the solution of the Cauchy problem for the scalar wave equation (1.6) can be represented as

$$\Psi(t, r, \vartheta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-ik\varphi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega\Omega} e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{a,b=1}^2 t_{ab}^{k\omega n} \Psi_{k\omega n}^a(r, \vartheta) \langle \Psi_{k\omega n}^b, \Psi_0 \rangle, \quad (2.1)$$

where the sums and the integrals converge in L_{loc}^2 . In the above integral representation, the coefficients t_{ab} are defined by

$$t_{11} = 1 + \text{Re} \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \quad t_{12} = t_{21} = -\text{Im} \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \quad t_{22} = 1 - \text{Re} \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \quad (2.2)$$

and the complex coefficients α and β are defined by

$$\dot{\phi} = \alpha \dot{\phi} + \beta \overline{\dot{\phi}}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\dot{\phi}(u)$ and $\overline{\dot{\phi}}(u)$ are the fundamental solutions of the radial equation having the asymptotics (1.14) and (1.15), respectively. We will refer to the complex coefficients α and β as *transmission coefficients*. Finally the functions $\Psi_{k\omega n}^a(r, \vartheta)$, $a = 1, 2$ are the

solutions of the wave equation (1.6), with fixed quantum numbers k, ω, n , corresponding to the real-valued fundamental solutions of the radial equation given by

$$\phi^1 = \operatorname{Re} \phi, \quad \phi^2 = \operatorname{Im} \phi. \quad (2.4)$$

Here we shall always consider a fixed k -mode, and without loss of generality we assume that $k > 0$. For notational simplicity, from now on we omit the index k and the φ -dependence. Furthermore, we introduce the vector notation

$$\Psi^{\omega n}(r, \vartheta) := \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1^{\omega n}(r, \vartheta) \\ \Psi_2^{\omega n}(r, \vartheta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_0^{\omega n} := \begin{pmatrix} \langle \Psi_1^{\omega n}, \Psi_0 \rangle \\ \langle \Psi_2^{\omega n}, \Psi_0 \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{T} = (t_{ab})_{a,b=1,2}, \quad (2.5)$$

which allows us to write the integral representation (2.1) in the compact form

$$\Psi(t, r, \vartheta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega \Omega} e^{-i\omega t} \langle \Psi^{\omega n}(r, \vartheta), \mathbf{T} \Psi_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

We now introduce some basic quantities needed for the formulation of the superradiance property for wave packets. The *total energy* E_{tot} of an initial data $\Psi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times S^2)^2$ for the scalar wave equation (1.6) is defined by

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \langle \Psi_0, \Psi_0 \rangle. \quad (2.7)$$

This energy is conserved throughout the time evolution of the scalar wave, meaning that if $\Psi(t)$ denotes the solution of the Cauchy problem for the scalar wave equation, then

$$\langle \Psi_0, \Psi_0 \rangle = \langle \Psi(t), \Psi(t) \rangle.$$

Recall that the *outgoing energy*, which represents the energy radiated to infinity, has been defined in (1.21). Finally, the energy absorbed by the black hole is defined by

$$E_{\text{bh}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Psi(t), \chi_{(-\infty, 2r_1)}(r) \Psi(t) \rangle. \quad (2.8)$$

We next derive useful expressions for these quantities in terms of the initial data and the transmission coefficients α and β . The expression for E_{tot} is an immediate consequence of (2.6).

Proposition 2.1 *Choose a fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\omega_0 < 0$. Then*

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega \Omega} \langle \Psi_0^{\omega n}, \mathbf{T} \Psi_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2},$$

where the series converges absolutely.

We next want to compute E_{bh} and E_{out} . First, we need an argument which ensures that the sum over the angular momentum modes converges. Since $E_{\text{out}} = E_{\text{tot}} - E_{\text{bh}}$ and the convergence of the angular sum is already known for the total energy according to Proposition 2.1, it suffices to consider for example E_{out} . In [10] it is shown that the outgoing energy is bounded uniformly in time, i.e.

$$\langle \Psi(t), \chi_{(2r_1, \infty)}(r) \Psi(t) \rangle \leq C(\Psi) \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Moreover, it is also shown in [10] that the outgoing wave can be approximated by a finite number of angular momentum modes uniformly in time, i.e. for any $\delta > 0$ there is N such that

$$\langle \Psi^N(t), \chi_{(2r_1, \infty)}(r) \Psi^N(t) \rangle \leq \delta \quad \text{for all } t,$$

where

$$\Psi^N(t, r, \vartheta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \geq N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega \Omega} e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{a,b=1}^2 t_{ab}^{\omega n} \Psi_a^{\omega n}(r, \vartheta) \langle \Psi_b^{\omega n}, \Psi_0 \rangle.$$

This estimate will always allow us in what follows to interchange the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ with the sum over the angular momentum modes.

To compute E_{bh} , we make use of the following lemma, which generalizes [7, Lemma 9.1].

Lemma 2.2 *For any Schwartz function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ and any $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the four functions $A_{s,s'}$, where $s, s' \in \{-1, 1\}$, by*

$$A_{s,s'} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{u_0} du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t} e^{-is\Omega u + is'\Omega' u} f(\omega, \omega').$$

Then

$$A_{s,s'} = 2\pi \left(\delta_1^s \delta_1^{s'} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\omega, \omega) d\omega,$$

where δ_1^s denotes the Kronecker delta.

Proof. Noting that $\Omega - \Omega' = \omega - \omega'$, the case $s = s'$ was proved in [7, Lemma 9.1]. Thus it remains to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{u_0} du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t} e^{\pm i(\Omega + \Omega')u} f(\omega, \omega') = 0.$$

Noting

$$e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t} e^{\pm i(\Omega + \Omega')u} = \frac{1}{(-it \pm iu + 1)(it \pm iu + 1)} (\partial_\omega + 1)(\partial_{\omega'} + 1) e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t \pm i(\Omega + \Omega')u},$$

we can integrate the ω, ω' -derivatives by parts. Applying Fubini's theorem and estimating the resulting u -integral for $t > 2|u_0|$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{u_0} \frac{1}{(t \mp u + i)(t \pm u - i)} e^{\pm i(\Omega + \Omega')u} du \right| &= \int_{-\infty}^{u_0} ((t + u)^2 + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ((t - u)^2 + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} du \\ &\leq \sqrt{6} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{u_0} ((t + u)^2 + 1)^{-\frac{3}{4}} du. \end{aligned}$$

Since the last integral is bounded uniformly in t , the entire expression tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. ■

Proposition 2.3 Choose a fixed integer $k > 0$. Then the limit in (2.8) converges and

$$E_{\text{bh}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega\Omega} \langle \Psi_0^{\omega n}, \mathbf{TPT} \Psi_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2},$$

where $\mathbf{P}$ is the matrix

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The above series is absolutely convergent.

Proof. Substituting the integral representation (2.1) into (2.8), we find

$$E_{\text{bh}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{r_1}^{2r_1} dr \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'\Omega'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega\Omega} e^{-i(\omega-\omega')t} \mathcal{E}^{\omega',\omega}(r), \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}^{\omega',\omega}(r) = \sum_{n,n' \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{a,b,c,d=1}^2 t_{ab}^{\omega'n'} t_{cd}^{\omega n} \langle \Psi_0, \Psi_a^{\omega'n'} \rangle \langle \Psi_d^{\omega n}, \Psi_0 \rangle \int_{S^2} \mathcal{E}(\Psi_b^{\omega'n'}, \Psi_c^{\omega n}),$$

and $\mathcal{E}(\Psi_b^{\omega'n'}, \Psi_c^{\omega n})$ denotes the bilinear form corresponding to the energy density as given in (1.20).

We next justify that we may interchange the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ with the sums over the angular momentum modes and that the series converge absolutely. According to [10, Theorem 8.1], the energy of the large angular momentum modes near infinity is small uniformly in time. Due to conservation of energy and the local decay [9], a similar result follows for the energy near the event horizon. Hence the integrals in (2.9) can be approximated uniformly in time by a finite number of angular momentum modes. Thus in what follows we may restrict attention to fixed angular momentum modes n and n' .

Near the event horizon, we can expand the coefficient functions in the energy density $\mathcal{E}(\Psi_b^{\omega'n'}, \Psi_c^{\omega n})$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} \mathcal{E}(\Psi_b^{\omega'n'}, \Psi_c^{\omega n}) \\ &= (r_1^2 + a^2) \left(\omega' \omega \overline{\Phi_b^{\omega'n'}} \Phi_c^{\omega n} + \overline{\partial_u \Phi_b^{\omega'n'}} \partial_u \Phi_c^{\omega n} \right) - \frac{a^2 k^2}{r_1^2 + a^2} \overline{\Phi_b^{\omega'n'}} \Phi_c^{\omega n} + \mathcal{O}_{\omega,\omega'}(e^{\gamma u}) \\ &= \Theta_{n'\omega'} \Theta_{n\omega} \left(\omega' \omega \overline{\phi_b^{\omega'n'}} \phi_c^{\omega n} + \overline{\partial_u \phi_b^{\omega'n'}} \partial_u \phi_c^{\omega n} - \frac{a^2 k^2}{(r_1^2 + a^2)^2} \overline{\phi_b^{\omega'n'}} \phi_c^{\omega n} \right) + \mathcal{O}_{\omega,\omega'}(e^{\gamma u}) \end{aligned}$$

with the fixed constant $\gamma > 0$ as in [9, Eq. (3.9)]. Here the error term can be bounded by

$$|\mathcal{O}_{\omega,\omega'}(e^{\gamma u})| \leq C e^{\gamma u} \left(|\overline{\phi_b^{\omega'n'}}| + |\omega' \overline{\phi_b^{\omega'n'}}| + |\partial_u \overline{\phi_b^{\omega'n'}}| \right) (|\overline{\phi_a^{\omega n}}| + |\omega \overline{\phi_a^{\omega n}}| + |\partial_u \overline{\phi_a^{\omega n}}|) \quad (2.10)$$

with a constant C independent of ω and ω' . Using the Jost estimates of [9, Theorem 3.1], the fundamental solutions have the following expansion near the event horizon,

$$\phi_1^{\omega n}(u) = \cos(\Omega u) + \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\omega,\omega'}(e^{\gamma u}), \quad \phi_2^{\omega n}(u) = \sin(\Omega u) + \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\omega,\omega'}(e^{\gamma u}).$$

Here the error term is bounded by

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\omega,\omega'}(e^{\gamma u})| \leq C e^{\gamma u}, \quad |\partial_u \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\omega,\omega'}(e^{\gamma u})| \leq C (1 + |\omega|) e^{\gamma u},$$

where the constant C is again uniform in ω (see [9, eqns (3.7, 3.10)]). This estimate also shows that the error term (2.10) is bounded by

$$|\mathcal{O}_{\omega,\omega'}(e^{\gamma u})| \leq C e^{\gamma u} (1 + |\omega'|)(1 + |\omega|). \quad (2.11)$$

We thus conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} \mathcal{E}(\Psi_b^{\omega' n'}, \Psi_c^{\omega n}) &= \mathcal{O}_{\omega,\omega'}(e^{\gamma u}) \\ &+ \Theta_{n'\omega'} \Theta_{n\omega} \left(\omega' \omega \overline{\psi_b^{\omega'}} \psi_c^\omega + \overline{\partial_u \psi_b^{\omega'}} \partial_u \psi_c^\omega - \frac{a^2 k^2}{(r_1^2 + a^2)^2} \overline{\psi_b^{\omega'}} \psi_c^\omega \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\omega,\omega'}(e^{\gamma u})$ satisfies (2.11) (possibly with a new constant C) and

$$\psi_1^\omega(u) = \cos(\Omega u), \quad \psi_2^\omega(u) = \sin(\Omega u).$$

Using the asymptotic formula (2.12) in (2.9) and writing the radial integral in terms of the Regge-Wheeler variable u , the factor $\Delta/(r^2 + a^2)$ drops out. Applying [10, Lemma 3.1], we can write E_{bh} as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{bh}} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{u_0} du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t} \\ &\times \left(\sum_{b,c=1}^2 f_{b,c}(\omega, \omega') \overline{\psi_b^{\omega'}}(u) \psi_c^\omega(u) + g(\omega, \omega') \mathcal{O}_{\omega,\omega'}(e^{\gamma u}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

with Schwartz functions $f_{b,c}$ and g . To treat the error term, we first apply Fubini's theorem to exchange the orders of integration. This gives

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t} g(\omega, \omega') \int_{-\infty}^{u_0} \mathcal{O}_{\omega,\omega'}(e^{\gamma u}) du,$$

and using (2.11), we can apply the Riemann-Lebesgue to conclude that this limit is zero. The term involving the $f_{b,c}$ can be treated by applying Lemma 2.2. Using the orthogonality of the spheroidal wave functions, we obtain

$$E_{\text{bh}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega^2 \Omega^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle \Psi_0^{\omega n}, \frac{\mathbf{TPT}}{2} \Psi_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} \left(\omega^2 + \Omega^2 - \frac{a^2 k^2}{(r_1^2 + a^2)^2} \right).$$

We finally apply the identity

$$\omega^2 + \Omega^2 - \frac{a^2 k^2}{(r_1^2 + a^2)^2} = \omega^2 + \Omega^2 - \omega_0^2 = \omega^2 + \omega^2 - \omega \omega_0 = 2\omega \Omega. \quad \blacksquare$$

Using the matrix identity

$$\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{TPT} = \mathbf{TQT} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{Q} := \mathbf{T}^{-1} - \mathbf{P},$$

a short calculation yields the following result.

Proposition 2.4 Choose a fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\omega_0 < 0$. Then the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.21) exists and

$$E_{\text{out}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega \Omega} \langle \Psi_0^{\omega n}, \mathbf{TQT} \Psi_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2},$$

where $\mathbf{Q}$ is the matrix

$$\mathbf{Q} = \frac{\Omega}{2\omega} \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha - \beta|^2 & i(\alpha + \beta) \overline{(\alpha - \beta)} \\ -i(\alpha - \beta) \overline{(\alpha + \beta)} & |\alpha + \beta|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

3 Energy Propagation of Wave Packets near Infinity

We fix $\tilde{\omega} \in (\omega_0, 0)$ and $\tilde{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider initial data Ψ_0 in the form of a linear combination of outgoing and incoming wave packets,

$$\Psi_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c} \Phi \\ i\partial_t \Phi \end{array} \right) \Big|_{t=0} = \Theta_{\tilde{n}, \tilde{\omega}}(\vartheta) \frac{\eta_L(u)}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \left[c_{\text{in}} e^{-i\tilde{\omega}u} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tilde{\omega} \end{pmatrix} + c_{\text{out}} e^{i\tilde{\omega}u} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\tilde{\omega} \end{pmatrix} \right], \quad (3.1)$$

where $L > 0$,

$$\eta_L(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \eta\left(\frac{u - L^2}{L}\right),$$

with $\eta \in C_0^\infty((-1, 1))$ being a smooth cut-off function, and where $\Theta_{\tilde{n}, \tilde{\omega}}(\vartheta)$ is an eigenfunction of the angular operator $\mathcal{A}$.

In the next proposition we compute E_{tot} asymptotically as $L \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 3.1 For the initial data given by the wave packet (3.1),

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} E_{\text{tot}}(\Psi_0) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega^2} \langle \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}, \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2}, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n} := \begin{pmatrix} \langle \dot{\Psi}_{\omega n}, \Psi_0 \rangle \\ \langle \dot{\Psi}_{\omega n}, \Psi_0 \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.3)$$

The above series converges absolutely.

Proof. Applying [10, Lemma 8.3] with $f \equiv 1$, we see that the energy of the large angular momentum modes is small uniformly in L . This allows us to interchange the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ with the sum over the angular momentum modes. Hence in what follows we may restrict attention to a fixed angular momentum mode n .

Since the wave packet is localized near infinity, it is preferable to work with the fundamental solutions $\dot{\phi}$ having the asymptotics (1.15). They are related to the functions $\phi_{1/2}$ (2.4) by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \overline{\dot{\phi}} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{A} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \beta & i(\alpha - \beta) \\ \overline{\alpha} + \overline{\beta} & -i(\overline{\alpha} - \overline{\beta}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order to compute the inverse of $\mathbf{A}$, we first note that, from the asymptotics (1.14) and (1.15) of the fundamental solutions of the radial equation at infinity and at the event horizon, we obtain the following Wronskians,

$$w(\dot{\phi}, \bar{\phi}) = -2i\omega, \quad w(\dot{\phi}, \bar{\phi}) = 2i\Omega.$$

On the other hand, from (2.3), we know that

$$w(\dot{\phi}, \bar{\phi}) = (|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2)w(\dot{\phi}, \bar{\phi}).$$

The last two identities imply that

$$|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = -\frac{\omega}{\Omega}. \quad (3.5)$$

Using this relation, we obtain

$$\mathbf{B} := \mathbf{A}^{-1} = \frac{\Omega}{2\omega} \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta} & -\alpha + \beta \\ i(\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}) & -i(\alpha + \beta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using again the notation (2.5), we have

$$\Psi_0^{\omega n} = \bar{\mathbf{B}} \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}, \quad (3.6)$$

so we can write the total energy as

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega \Omega} \langle \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}, \mathbf{B}^t \mathbf{T} \bar{\mathbf{B}} \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2}.$$

The quadratic form can be written in components as

$$\langle \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}, \mathbf{B}^t \mathbf{T} \bar{\mathbf{B}} \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} = (\overline{\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}})_1 (\mathbf{B}^t \mathbf{T} \bar{\mathbf{B}})_{11} (\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n})_1 + (\overline{\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}})_2 (\mathbf{B}^t \mathbf{T} \bar{\mathbf{B}})_{22} (\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n})_2 \quad (3.7)$$

$$+ (\overline{\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}})_1 (\mathbf{B}^t \mathbf{T} \bar{\mathbf{B}})_{12} (\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n})_2 + (\overline{\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}})_2 (\mathbf{B}^t \mathbf{T} \bar{\mathbf{B}})_{21} (\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n})_1, \quad (3.8)$$

and the matrix $\mathbf{B}^t \mathbf{T} \bar{\mathbf{B}}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{B}^t \mathbf{T} \bar{\mathbf{B}} = \frac{\Omega}{2\omega} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\bar{\alpha}/\beta \\ -\alpha/\bar{\beta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider the contribution by the diagonal terms (3.7) and the off-diagonal terms (3.8) separately. Re-expressing the diagonal elements in terms of $\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}$ we obtain

$$(3.7) = \frac{\Omega}{2\omega} \langle \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}, \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2},$$

and the corresponding contribution to E_{tot} is given by (3.2).

It remains to show that the contribution of the off-diagonal terms (3.8) to E_{tot} tends to zero as $L \rightarrow \infty$. Intuitively, this can be understood from the fact that the off-diagonal terms involve oscillatory terms $e^{\pm i\omega L^2}$, whose integral becomes small for large L . In order to make the argument precise, we use an argument based on the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma. We only consider the (1, 2) element; the argument for the (2, 1) element is similar. We write

$$\frac{1}{\omega \Omega} (\overline{\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}})_1 (\mathbf{B}^t \mathbf{T} \bar{\mathbf{B}})_{12} (\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n})_2 = e^{-2i\omega L^2} g_L(\omega)$$

with

$$g_L(\omega) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{\omega} \langle \Psi_0, e^{i\omega L^2} \dot{\Psi}^{\omega n} \rangle \right) \left(\frac{1}{\omega} \langle \overline{e^{i\omega L^2} \dot{\Psi}^{\omega n}}, \Psi_0 \rangle \right). \quad (3.9)$$

For clarity we point out that the L -dependence of g_L comes from Ψ_0 (see (3.1)), as well as from the phase factor $e^{i\omega L^2}$. The corresponding contribution to E_{tot} is obtained by integrating over ω , and thus the remaining task is to show that

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2i\omega L^2} g_L(\omega) d\omega = 0.$$

This is a direct consequence of the following two lemmas. ■

Lemma 3.2 *Let $f_L \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $L > 0$, be a family of functions with which converges in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2i\omega L^2} f_L(\omega) d\omega = 0.$$

Proof. Let $f = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} f_L$ in L^1 . Using the inequality

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2i\omega L^2} f_L(\omega) d\omega \right| \leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2i\omega L^2} f(\omega) d\omega \right| + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f - f_L|(\omega) d\omega,$$

the first term tends to zero by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, while the second term tends to zero by hypothesis. ■

Lemma 3.3 *For any $n, \tilde{n}$ and $\tilde{\omega}$, the functions g_L converge in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. In view of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, it suffices to show that

- (a) The pointwise limit $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} g_L(\omega)$ exists for all $\omega \neq 0$, and
- (b) There is a constant C such that for all ω and L ,

$$|g_L(\omega)| \leq \frac{C}{\omega^2 + 1}.$$

To show (a), note that in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, the support of Ψ_0 moves to infinity. Thus using the plane wave asymptotics of the Jost solutions $\psi^{\omega n}$ together with the error estimates in the proof of Lemma 3.3 in [9], a straightforward calculation shows that both brackets in (3.9) converge for any fixed $\omega \neq 0$. This proves (a).

To prove (b), we first analyze the behavior near $\omega = 0$. First note that the factors ω^{-1} in the brackets in (3.9) are compensated because the energy scalar product involves a factor of ω (see [8, Eq. (2.14)]). Thus the estimates for the Jost functions in Lemma 3.6 in [9] yield that the two brackets in (3.9) are bounded for small $|\omega|$, uniformly in L . Next, the convexity argument in [9, Section 5] yields that the factor $\bar{\alpha}/\beta$ is bounded near $\omega = 0$.

On any compact set which does not contain $\omega = 0$, we know from [9, Section 7] that the factor $\bar{\alpha}/\beta$ is a continuous function. Since the Jost solutions form holomorphic families and

the estimates of [9, Lemma 3.3] hold, it follows that the integrand in (3.9) is continuous and thus bounded on any compact set, uniformly in L .

To control the behavior for large $|\omega|$, we first see from (3.5) that $|\bar{\alpha}/\beta| < 1$. Thus to finish the proof of (b) it suffices to show that the energy scalar products in (3.9) are bounded, uniformly in L . Indeed, we shall show that they have rapid decay in ω , uniformly in L , i.e. for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant c_p such that

$$\left| \langle \Psi_0, \dot{\Psi}^{\omega n} \rangle \right| + \left| \langle \overline{\dot{\Psi}^{\omega n}}, \Psi_0 \rangle \right| \leq \frac{c_p}{|\omega|^p} \quad \forall L, \forall \omega \text{ with } |\omega| > 1. \quad (3.10)$$

For this we modify the argument in the proof of [10, Lemma 3.1 (iii)]. We write the radial ODE (1.11) as

$$\omega^2 \dot{\phi} = (-\partial_u^2 + (V + \omega^2)) \dot{\phi}.$$

Iterating this relation gives

$$\omega^{2l} \dot{\phi} = (-\partial_u^2 + (V + \omega^2))^l \dot{\phi}.$$

We thus obtain for suitable functions F and G , which are independent of ω , the formula

$$\omega^{2l} \dot{\Psi}^{\omega n} = (-\partial_u^2 + \omega F + G)^l \dot{\Psi}^{\omega n},$$

where $\dot{\Psi}^{\omega n} = (\dot{\Phi}^{\omega n}, i\partial_t \dot{\Phi}^{\omega n})$ and $\dot{\Phi}^{\omega n}$ is defined by (1.7, 1.9). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi_0, \dot{\Psi}^{\omega n} \rangle &= \frac{1}{\omega^{2l}} \langle \Psi_0, (-\partial_u^2 + \omega F + G)^l \dot{\Psi}^{\omega n} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega^{2l}} \langle ((-\partial_u^2 + \omega F + G)^*)^l \Psi_0, \dot{\Psi}^{\omega n} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where star denotes the formal adjoint obtained by partial integration. Writing the function $((-\partial_u^2 + \omega F + G)^*)^l \Psi_0$ as a polynomial in ω , each coefficient is again a smooth function in u , which is bounded by $\text{const}/\sqrt{L}$ and is supported in the interval $u \in [L^2 - L, L^2 + L]$. Hence again using the Jost estimates in [9, Section 3.1], we conclude that

$$\left| \langle ((-\partial_u^2 + \omega F + G)^*)^l \Psi_0, \dot{\Psi}^{\omega n} \rangle \right| \leq C |\omega|^{l+1},$$

where the constant C depends only on Ψ_0 and l , but is independent of L . Since l is arbitrary, we obtain the desired estimate for the first summand in (3.10). The proof for the second argument is similar. $\blacksquare$

For later use, we restate the inequality (3.10) in terms of $\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}$ as defined by (3.3).

Remark 3.4 For any $n, \tilde{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tilde{\omega} \in (\omega_0, 0)$, the function $\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}$ has rapid decay in ω , uniformly in L , i.e. for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant c_p such that for all ω and all L ,

$$\left| \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n} \right| \leq \frac{c_p}{(|\omega| + 1)^p}.$$

The result of Proposition 3.1 can be understood more directly from the following intuitive considerations. For large L the wave packet is localized near infinity, and thus its energy is well-approximated by the energy in Minkowski space. Considering only one angular mode and integrating out the angular variables, we thus obtain

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|\partial_t \phi_0|^2 + |\partial_r \phi_0|^2) du + \mathcal{O}(L^{-1}),$$

(where the angular derivatives are contained in the error term). Writing the solution of the one-dimensional wave equation as a Fourier integral involving left- and right-going waves,

$$\phi(t, u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} \left(\hat{\phi}_L(\omega) e^{-i\omega u} + \hat{\phi}_R(\omega) e^{i\omega u} \right) d\omega,$$

we can rewrite E_{tot} as

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega^2 \left(|\hat{\phi}_L(\omega)|^2 + |\hat{\phi}_R(\omega)|^2 \right) d\omega + \mathcal{O}(L^{-1}). \quad (3.12)$$

Next, using the asymptotics (1.15) of the fundamental solutions $\dot{\phi}$ together with the asymptotic form of the energy density in (1.20), we find that

$$\hat{\phi}_L(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\omega^2} \langle \dot{\Psi}^{\omega n}, \Psi_0 \rangle + \mathcal{O}(L^{-1}), \quad \hat{\phi}_R(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\omega^2} \langle \overline{\dot{\Psi}^{\omega n}}, \Psi_0 \rangle + \mathcal{O}(L^{-1}).$$

Using this formula in (3.12), we again get the expression in Proposition 3.1.

Using the same method as in Proposition 3.1, we next compute the outgoing energy E_{out} for our wave packet.

Proposition 3.5 *For the initial data given by the wave packet (3.1),*

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} E_{\text{out}}(\Psi_0) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega^2} \langle \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}, \mathbf{R} \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2}, \quad (3.13)$$

where $\mathbf{R}$ is the matrix

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|\alpha|^2}{|\beta|^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.14)$$

The above series converges absolutely.

Proof. Applying [10, Theorem 8.1], we see that the energy near infinity of the large angular momentum modes is small uniformly in L . This allows us to interchange the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ with the sum over the angular momentum modes. Hence in what follows we may restrict attention to a fixed angular momentum mode n .

Using (3.6), we can write the result of Proposition 2.4 as

$$E_{\text{out}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega \Omega} \langle \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}, \mathbf{B}^t \mathbf{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{T} \bar{\mathbf{B}} \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2}.$$

The matrix $\mathbf{B}^t \mathbf{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{T} \bar{\mathbf{B}}$ can be computed to be

$$\mathbf{B}^t \mathbf{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{T} \bar{\mathbf{B}} = \frac{\Omega}{2\omega} \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha|^2/|\beta|^2 & -\bar{\alpha}/\beta \\ \alpha/\bar{\beta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The diagonal terms give rise to (3.13). The off-diagonal terms, on the other hand, are exactly the same as those considered in Proposition 3.1. Thus we already know that they vanish in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$. $\blacksquare$

4 Computation of Energy Gain

Combining Propositions 3.1 and 3.5, we obtain

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{\text{out}}}{E_{\text{tot}}} = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}, \mathbf{R}(\omega, n) \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} \frac{d\omega}{\omega^2}}{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}, \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} \frac{d\omega}{\omega^2}}. \quad (4.1)$$

To discuss this formula, let us see how to recover Starobinsky's result (1.19). To this end, we replace the superposition in the numerator and denominator by a single wave mode with quantum numbers $\tilde{\omega} \neq 0$ and $\tilde{n}$. Then (4.1) simplifies to

$$\frac{E_{\text{out}}}{E_{\text{tot}}} = \frac{\langle \dot{\Psi}_0^{\tilde{\omega} \tilde{n}}, \mathbf{R}(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{n}) \dot{\Psi}_0^{\tilde{\omega} \tilde{n}} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2}}{\langle \dot{\Psi}_0^{\tilde{\omega} \tilde{n}}, \dot{\Psi}_0^{\tilde{\omega} \tilde{n}} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2}}.$$

If we consider an outgoing wave at infinity, the vector $\dot{\Psi}_0^{\tilde{\omega} \tilde{n}}$ vanishes in the first component. According to (3.14), the above quotient gives one. This result is immediately clear, because if we take an outgoing wave near infinity, it will not interact with the black hole and simply escape to infinity. It is more interesting to consider an incoming wave at infinity. In this case, the second component of the vector $\dot{\Psi}_0^{\tilde{\omega} \tilde{n}}$ vanishes, and thus

$$\frac{E_{\text{out}}}{E_{\text{tot}}} = \left| \frac{\alpha(\tilde{\omega})}{\beta(\tilde{\omega})} \right|^2.$$

Expressing A and B in terms of our transmission coefficients α and β (by a straightforward calculation using (1.16, 2.3) together with (3.5)), this is in complete agreement with Starobinsky's result [13].

The remaining task is to prove that in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, our wave packets become “more and more localized” near $\omega = \tilde{\omega}$ and $n = \tilde{n}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We consider the wave packet initial data (3.1) with $\tilde{\omega}$ and $\tilde{n}$ equal to ω and n in the statement of the theorem. We choose $c_{\text{out}} = 0$ and $c_{\text{in}} = 1$. From the asymptotic form of the energy density near infinity, it is obvious that the total energy of the wave packet (3.1) has a non-zero limit as $L \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the denominator in (4.1) is non-zero. Moreover, since both series in (4.1) converge absolutely, they may be approximated by finite sums. Using the identity

$$\frac{E_{\text{out}}}{\langle \Psi_0, \Psi_0 \rangle} - \Re = \frac{1}{\langle \Psi_0, \Psi_0 \rangle} \left(E_{\text{out}} - \left| \frac{\alpha(\tilde{\omega})}{\beta(\tilde{\omega})} \right|^2 \langle \Psi_0, \Psi_0 \rangle \right)$$

and considering a finite number of modes, we see that our task is to show that

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \leq n_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n}, \left(\mathbf{R}(\omega, n) - \left| \frac{\alpha(\tilde{\omega})}{\beta(\tilde{\omega})} \right|^2 \right) \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} \frac{d\omega}{\omega^2} = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

It clearly suffices to prove this for one mode.

In the case $n = \tilde{n}$, for given $\varepsilon > 0$ we choose an open neighborhood I_ε of $\tilde{\omega}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\omega^2} \left| \left| \frac{\alpha(\omega)}{\beta(\omega)} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{\alpha(\tilde{\omega})}{\beta(\tilde{\omega})} \right|^2 \right| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } \omega \in I_\varepsilon.$$

Using the asymptotic form of the energy density and of the fundamental solutions, a short computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} (\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega \tilde{n}})_2 &= 0 \quad \text{pointwise in } \mathbb{R} \\ \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega \tilde{n}} &= 0 \quad \text{pointwise in } \mathbb{R} \setminus I_\varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

(where $(\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega \tilde{n}})_2$ denotes the second component of $\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega \tilde{n}}$), and that the functions $(\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega \tilde{n}})_2$ and $\dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega \tilde{n}}$ are dominated in $\mathbb{R}$ resp. in $\mathbb{R} \setminus I_\varepsilon$ by Schwartz functions, uniformly in L (see Remark 3.4). Hence applying Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I_\varepsilon} \langle \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega \tilde{n}}, \left(\mathbf{R}(\omega, \tilde{n}) - \left| \frac{\alpha(\tilde{\omega})}{\beta(\tilde{\omega})} \right|^2 \right) \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega \tilde{n}} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} \frac{d\omega}{\omega^2} &\leq \varepsilon E_{\text{tot}} \\ \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus I_\varepsilon} \langle \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega \tilde{n}}, \dot{\Psi}_0^{\omega \tilde{n}} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} \frac{d\omega}{\omega^2} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, this proves (4.2) for the summand $n = \tilde{n}$.

If $n \neq \tilde{n}$, the orthogonality of the spheroidal wave functions for $\omega = \tilde{\omega}$ together with the continuity in ω allows us to choose a neighborhood I_ε of $\tilde{\omega}$ such that

$$\langle \Theta^{n, \omega}, \Theta^{\tilde{n}, \tilde{\omega}} \rangle_{S^2} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } \omega \in I_\varepsilon.$$

Now we can use the same argument as in the case $n = \tilde{n}$ to conclude the proof of (4.2). ■

5 Absorbtion of Angular Momentum by the Black Hole

We first derive the expression for the angular momentum of the scalar wave. We recall from [8] that the Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= -\Delta |\partial_r \Phi|^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta} |((r^2 + a^2)\partial_t + a\partial_\varphi)\Phi|^2 \\ &\quad - \sin^2 \vartheta |\partial_{\cos \vartheta} \varphi|^2 - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \vartheta} |(a \sin^2 \vartheta \partial_t + \partial_\varphi)\Phi|^2.\end{aligned}$$

This Lagrangian is axisymmetric. Applying Noether's theorem gives rise to the following conserved quantity,

$$A[\Phi] = \int_{r_1}^{\infty} dr \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \vartheta) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{A},$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} &= \text{Re} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Phi_t} \Phi_\varphi \right) \\ &= \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{(r^2 + a^2)^2}{\Delta} \overline{\partial_\varphi \Phi} \left(\partial_t \Phi + \frac{a \partial_\varphi \Phi}{r^2 + a^2} \right) - a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta \overline{\partial_\varphi \Phi} \left(\partial_t \Phi + \frac{\partial_\varphi \Phi}{a \sin^2 \vartheta} \right) \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

The quantity A can be interpreted as the angular momentum of the wave Φ , and $\mathcal{A}$ as the angular momentum density.

Similar to (2.8), the angular momentum absorbed by the black hole is defined by

$$A_{\text{bh}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{r_1}^{2r_1} dr \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \vartheta) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{A}[\Phi], \quad (5.1)$$

provided that the limit exists. In the next proposition we compute A_{bh} , again for a fixed k -mode.

Proposition 5.1 *Choose a fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\omega_0 < 0$. Then the limit in (5.1) exists and*

$$A_{\text{bh}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega\Omega} \frac{k}{\Omega} \langle \Psi_0^{\omega n}, \mathbf{TPT} \Psi_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2},$$

where the sum converges absolutely.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 3.5 one sees that we may interchange the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ with the sum over the angular momentum modes, and that the resulting series converges absolutely. Thus it remains to consider a fixed angular momentum mode n . Substituting the integral representation (2.1) into the definition of A_{bh} , we obtain

$$A_{\text{bh}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{r_1}^{2r_1} dr \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'\Omega'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega\Omega} e^{-i(\omega-\omega')t} \mathcal{A}^{\omega',\omega}(r),$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}^{\omega',\omega}(r) = \sum_{n,n' \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{a,b,c,d=1}^2 t_{ab}^{\omega'n'} t_{cd}^{\omega n} \langle \Psi_0, \Psi_a^{\omega'n'} \rangle \langle \Psi_d^{\omega n}, \Psi_0 \rangle \int_{S^2} \mathcal{A}(\Psi_b^{\omega'n'}, \Psi_c^{\omega n}),$$

and $\mathcal{A}(\Psi_b^{\omega'n'}, \Psi_c^{\omega n})$ denotes the bilinear form corresponding to the angular momentum density (similar to the bilinear form $\mathcal{E}(\Psi_b^{\omega'n'}, \Psi_c^{\omega n})$ appearing in the proof of Proposition 2.3). Near the event horizon, we can expand $\mathcal{A}(\Psi_b^{\omega'n'}, \Psi_c^{\omega n})$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} \mathcal{A}(\Psi_b^{\omega'n'}, \Psi_c^{\omega n}) &= \frac{1}{2} (r_1^2 + a^2) k (\Omega + \Omega') \overline{\Phi_b^{\omega'n'}} \Phi_c^{\omega n} + \mathcal{O}(e^{\gamma u}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Theta_{n'\omega'} \Theta_{n\omega} k (\Omega + \Omega') \overline{\phi_b^{\omega'n'}} \phi_c^{\omega n} + \mathcal{O}(e^{\gamma u}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we can proceed exactly as in the proof of Proposition 2.3. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Without loss of generality we again restrict attention to the case $k > 0$, so that $\omega_0 < 0$. We set

$$\rho(\omega, n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \Psi_0^{\omega n}, \mathbf{TPT} \Psi_0^{\omega n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2}.$$

The eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbf{TPT}$ are computed to be zero and $1 + |\alpha|^2/|\beta|^2$. Thus ρ is non-negative. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{bh}} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k}{\omega\Omega^2} \rho \, d\omega \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\omega_0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{\omega\Omega^2} \rho \, d\omega \\ &\leq \frac{k}{|\omega_0|} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\omega_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\omega\Omega} \rho \, d\omega \leq \frac{k}{|\omega_0|} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\omega\Omega} \rho \, d\omega = \frac{k}{|\omega_0|} E_{\text{bh}}, \end{aligned}$$

and using (1.13) completes the proof. ■

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank the Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation and the Vielberth Foundation, Regensburg, for generous support. We also thank the referee his careful reading and helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] N. Andersson, P. Laguna, P. Papadopoulos, “Dynamics of scalar fields in the background of rotating black holes II: a note on superradiance,” gr-qc/9802059, *Phys. Rev.* **D58** (1998) 087503.
- [2] S. Chandrasekhar, “The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes,” *Oxford University Press* (1983).
- [3] D. Christodoulou, “Reversible and irreversible transformations in black hole physics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **25**, 1956-1957.
- [4] N. Deruelle, R. Ruffini, “Quantum and classical relativistic energy states in stationary geometries,” *Phys. Lett.* **52B** (1974) 437
- [5] N. Deruelle, R. Ruffini, “Klein Paradox in a Kerr Geometry,” *Phys. Lett.* **57B** (1975) 248
- [6] F. Finster, N. Kamran, J. Smoller and S.-T. Yau, “The long-time dynamics of Dirac particles in the Kerr-Newman black hole geometry,” gr-qc/0005088, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **7** (2003) 25–52.
- [7] F. Finster, N. Kamran, J. Smoller and S.-T. Yau, “Decay rates and probability estimates for massive Dirac particles in the Kerr-Newman black hole geometry,” gr-qc/0107094, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **230** (2002) 201–244.
- [8] F. Finster, N. Kamran, J. Smoller and S.-T. Yau, “An integral spectral representation of the propagator for the wave equation in the Kerr geometry,” gr-qc/0310024, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **260** (2005) 257–298.
- [9] F. Finster, N. Kamran, J. Smoller and S.-T. Yau, “Decay of solutions of the wave equation in the Kerr geometry,” gr-qc/0504047, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **264** (2006) 465–503, erratum *Commun. Math. Phys.* **280** (2008) 563–573
- [10] F. Finster, J. Smoller, “A time independent energy estimate for outgoing scalar waves in the Kerr geometry,” arXiv:0707.2290 [math-ph], *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.* **5** (2008) 221–255
- [11] V.P. Frolov, I.D. Novikov, “Black Hole Physics. Basic Concepts and New Developments,” *Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht* (1998).
- [12] R. Penrose, “Gravitational collapse: The role of general relativity,” *Rev. del Nuovo Cimento* **1** (1969) 252–276.
- [13] A.A. Starobinsky, “Amplification of waves during reflection from a black hole,” *Soviet Physics JETP* **37** (1973) 28–32.

